UNVEILING THE POWER OF PRESENTATION: A DEEP DIVE INTO HR ANALYTICS IMPLEMENTATION AND ADOPTION STRATEGIES

Shaheen Sultana MD, Research Scholar, Bir Tikendrajit University

Dr Vanisree Talluri, Research Supervisor, Bir Tikendrajit University

ABSTRACT

The contemporary business landscape is witnessing a paradigm shift with the increasing integration of Human Resource Analytics (HRA) to inform decision-making and enhance organizational outcomes. This research investigates the use of HRA as a strategic tool for driving decisions that directly impact business performance. Through a descriptive and empirical approach, the study explores the complex relationships between diverse constructs associated with HRA implementation and evaluates the influence of demographic factors on analytics practices. In the pursuit of understanding the nuanced interconnections, the research formulates hypotheses to scrutinize associations between the representation of HR analytics and managerial levels, organizational types, and employee populations. Additionally, the study delves into exploring the intricate relations between decision-making capability, technology, application of HRA, and the representation of outcomes derived from analytics practices. This structured examination aligns with the overarching objective of deciphering the multifaceted impact of HRA on organizational dynamics. As technology continues to advance, the research investigates the evolving relationship between technological trends and the application of HR Analytics. By employing rigorous statistical analyses, the study strives to uncover patterns, correlations, or the absence thereof, providing insights into the intricate landscape of HRA adoption. The implications of this research extend beyond academia, offering practical insights for decision-makers and practitioners seeking to optimize the strategic use of HR Analytics for enhanced organizational performance in the ever-evolving business environment.

Keywords: HR Analytics, Decision-making, Organizational Outcomes, Demographic Factors, Strategic HR, Employee Populations, Managerial Levels, etc.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary realm of business, the strategic integration of Human Resource Analytics (HRA) has emerged as a transformative force, reshaping traditional paradigms of decision-making within organizations. This study embarks on an exploration of the intricate nexus between HR Analytics, decision-making, and the consequential impact on organizational dynamics. While the abstract

provides a glimpse of the research's overarching objectives, this introduction serves as a gateway to the nuanced landscape where the fusion of human resources and analytics unfolds.

Beyond the conventional role of Human Resources (HR), analytics has become a linchpin for organizations seeking to make informed, data-driven decisions that resonate with tangible business outcomes. As businesses grapple with the complexities of a globalized and technologically evolving environment, the study delves into the dynamic interplay of HR Analytics as a catalyst for strategic decision-making. The discourse extends beyond mere theoretical exploration, aiming to unravel the practical implications and real-world applications of HR Analytics across diverse organizational settings.

An essential facet of this inquiry lies in the examination of demographic factors that may influence the adoption and effectiveness of HR Analytics practices. The study recognizes the diverse tapestry of organizational structures, managerial hierarchies, and employee populations, aiming to decipher how these variables intersect with the representation and impact of HR Analytics. Furthermore, the research ventures into the evolving relationship between technology trends and the application of HR Analytics, acknowledging the imperative of staying abreast of technological advancements in the pursuit of effective analytics practices.

In essence, this introduction sets the stage for a comprehensive journey into the realm of HR Analytics, where the fusion of human insights and analytical prowess converges to shape strategic decision-making paradigms. As organizations grapple with the imperatives of the digital age, this research seeks to illuminate pathways for leveraging HR Analytics as a dynamic tool, propelling businesses towards agility, competitiveness, and sustainable success.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Momin and Kushendra (2015) Human resource analytics has become a crucial component of strategic planning in the area of human resources. Modern HR managers make decisions about their workforce based on the outcomes generated by HR analytics. Every choice concerning human resource requirements must be accorded the highest level of attention. HR analytics enables the HR manager to get insights from several viewpoints and carefully consider all aspects of human resources prior to making strategic choices. The intense global rivalry and rapid technical advancements are exerting pressure on organisations to modify their fundamental strategies. Presently, it is essential for any organisation to prioritise the alignment of its HR strategy with long-term business objectives. The present state has a pressing need for realistic workforce planning that requires quick attention. The constant changes occurring in the contemporary corporate sector are leading to strong rivalry among organisations. In order to gain a competitive advantage, firms must use HR analytics to get precise and up-to-date information.

Sousa (2018) Attempts to determine the types of organisations that use analytics and the different solutions they employ. The study also seeks to determine if professionals from various fields have differing perspectives on the utilisation of human resource analytics, ultimately concluding that no disparities exist. "The research reveals that HR metrics are distinct and considered a much narrower notion when compared to HR Analytics." This kind of analytics is also forward-looking and serves as

the foundation for strategic decision-making inside an organisation. The report extensively discusses predictive models that will play a crucial role in directing the organization's future actions..

Smith. Jr. (2018) Seeks to ascertain the opinions of HR experts about the use of Data Analytics for talent management in the organisation. The research was conducted using data collected from twenty individuals working in the area of Human Resources. The research primarily examines four aspects that were deemed to influence the decision-making process of the organization's personnel. The factors encompassed professionals' belief in the profitability of data analytics, the challenges associated with its implementation, the necessary training, and the extent to which organisational support motivated employees to incorporate data analytics into their daily routines..

Lochab, Kumar, and Tomar (2018) Establish a correlation between the organisational performance and HR Analytics. The study asserts that the current body of literature on Analytics only considers two viewpoints: business and data. The examination of these factors demonstrates that a connection may be established among the aforementioned variables. However, more investigation is required via empirical research in other sectors to determine the specific mechanisms involved. Currently, it can be inferred that HR Analytics significantly affects crucial aspects of an organisation. The study identifies a gap in the current literature and paves the path for future research opportunities.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To evaluate the Impact of HR Analytics Application Levels on Representation in HR Functions.

2. To explore the Strategic Role of Data Visualization and Storytelling in HR Analytics Presentation.

3. To assess the Influence of Technology Adoption on HR Analytics Presentation Capabilities.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design: This descriptive and empirical research employs a quantitative approach to examine the stated objectives and test the formulated hypotheses. The design focuses on unraveling the intricacies of HR Analytics implementation, emphasizing its impact on decision-making and business outcomes. It aims to bridge the gap between HR Analytics utilization and strategic decision outcomes, offering valuable insights for practitioners and decision-makers.

Demographic Factors Analysis: A specific facet of the study involves evaluating the influence of demographic factors on HR Analytics practices.

Statistical Analysis: To validate the research hypotheses, a rigorous statistical analysis is employed. The study employs various statistical tests to determine associations or lack thereof between different constructs, such as managerial levels, organization types, decision-making capability, technology, application of HR Analytics, and representation of outcomes.

Hypothesis: The formulated hypotheses guide the investigation, questioning the associations between HR analytics representation and managerial levels, organizational types, and employee populations.

Ho1: There is no association between the representation of HR analytics and the level of managers.

Ho2: There is no association between the representation of HR analytics and the Organization type.

Ho3: There is no association between the representation of HR analytics and the employee population.

Ho4: There is no relation between Decision making capability and Representation of HRA outcomes.

Ho5: There is no relation between Technology and Application of HRA.

Ho6: There is no relationship between Technology and Application of HRA.

Ho7: There is no relationship between Application of HRA and Representation of HRA outcomes.

V. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Representation of analysis among the Senior level manager and Middle level manager:

Ho1: There is no association between the representation of HR analytics and the level of managers.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

	Designation	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Representation	**Middle level manager**	22	3.68	.646
	Senior level manager	71	3.90	.674

Table 2: t-test for Equality of Means

	t-test for Equality of Means		
	T	**df**	**Sig. (2-tailed)**
Representation	1.348	91	.181

The research sets out to scrutinize the perceived representation of Human Resource (HR) analytics among Senior and Middle-level managers, guided by the hypothesis that posits no association between managerial levels and the representation of HR analytics (Ho1). This investigation is crucial in deciphering potential variations in how HR analytics is perceived and utilized across different managerial strata within the organizational hierarchy.

Descriptive Statistics (Table 1): The descriptive statistics provide a snapshot of the mean and standard deviation for the representation of HR analytics among Middle-level and Senior-level managers. Middle-level managers, on average, scored 3.68 with a standard deviation of 0.646, indicating a moderate level of representation. In contrast, Senior-level managers exhibited a slightly

higher average representation score of 3.90, with a standard deviation of 0.674, suggesting a marginally stronger perception of HR analytics representation among this managerial cohort.

t-test for Equality of Means (Table 2): The t-test for equality of means evaluates whether the observed differences in the representation of HR analytics between Middle and Senior-level managers are statistically significant. The t-value of 1.348, with 91 degrees of freedom, results in a p-value of 0.181. The non-significant p-value (greater than the conventional significance level of 0.05) suggests that there is insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis (Ho1). In practical terms, this indicates that the observed differences in the representation of HR analytics between Middle and Senior-level managers may be attributed to random variability rather than a systematic association between managerial levels and HR analytics perception.

The findings imply that, based on the collected data, there is no statistically significant difference in how Middle and Senior-level managers perceive HR analytics representation. While Senior-level managers exhibit a slightly higher average representation score, this difference does not reach a level of significance. These insights contribute to a nuanced understanding of the organizational landscape, emphasizing that, at least within the scope of this study, HR analytics representation is perceived relatively consistently across managerial levels.

Representation of difference between the Services industry and Manufacturing industry:

Ho2: There is no association between the representation of HR analytics and the Organization type.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics

	Organization type	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Representation	**Manufacturing industry**	19	3.97	.716
	Services industry	74	3.82	.660

Table 4: t-test for Equality of Means

	t-test for Equality of Means		
	T	**df**	**Sig. (2-tailed)**
Representation	-.904	91	.368

The investigation extends to examining the perceived representation of Human Resource (HR) analytics across distinct organizational types, namely the Services and Manufacturing industries. The null hypothesis (Ho2) posits no association between the representation of HR analytics and the organization type. This exploration aims to uncover potential disparities in how HR analytics is perceived and integrated within these industry sectors.

Descriptive Statistics (Table 3): The descriptive statistics present an overview of the mean and standard deviation for the representation of HR analytics within the Manufacturing and Services industries. The Manufacturing industry, on average, scored 3.97 with a standard deviation of 0.716, indicating a relatively higher level of perceived HR analytics representation. "Conversely, the Services industry exhibited an average representation score of 3.82, with a standard deviation of 0.660, suggesting a slightly lower average perception of HR analytics representation in this sector."

t-test for Equality of Means (Table 4): The t-test for equality of means assesses whether the observed differences in the representation of HR analytics between the Manufacturing and Services industries are statistically significant. The t-value of -0.904, with 91 degrees of freedom, results in a p-value of 0.368. The non-significant p-value (greater than 0.05) implies that there is insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis (Ho2). In practical terms, this suggests that the observed differences in the representation of HR analytics between the Manufacturing and Services industries may be due to chance rather than a systematic association between organization type and HR analytics perception.

The findings indicate that, based on the data collected, there is no statistically significant difference in how the Manufacturing and Services industries perceive HR analytics representation. "While there is a slight numerical difference in the mean scores, this difference is not statistically significant." Therefore, within the scope of this study, the type of organization (Manufacturing or Services) does not appear to be a significant factor influencing the perception of HR analytics representation.

Representation of difference between the Categories of employee population

Ho3: There is no association between the representation of HR analytics and the employee population.

Table 5: Descriptive Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error
Above 5000	21	4.21	.561	.122
Less than 2000	39	3.69	.592	.095
2001 to 5000	33	3.80	.749	.130
Total	**93**	**3.85**	**.671**	**.070**

Table 6: ANOVA

Representation					
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Within Groups	40.583	91	.446		
Between Groups	.810	1	.810	1.816	.181
Total	**41.392**	**92**			

The investigation extends to explore the perceived representation of Human Resource (HR) analytics across different categories of employee population, guided by the null hypothesis (Ho3) asserting no association between the representation of HR analytics and employee population. This examination aims to discern potential variations in HR analytics perception across diverse employee population scales.

Descriptive Statistics (Table 5): The descriptive statistics offer insights into the mean, standard deviation, and standard error for HR analytics representation within various employee population categories. Employee populations above 5000 exhibit the highest mean representation score of 4.21, with a standard deviation of 0.561 and a standard error of 0.122. In contrast, populations less than 2000 and those ranging from 2001 to 5000 have slightly lower mean representation scores of 3.69

and 3.80, respectively. The total sample presents an overall mean representation score of 3.85, with a standard deviation of 0.671.

ANOVA (Table 6): The analysis of variance (ANOVA) assesses whether the observed differences in HR analytics representation across employee population categories are statistically significant. The sum of squares within groups is 40.583, with 91 degrees of freedom, resulting in a mean square of 0.446. The sum of squares between groups is 0.810, with 1 degree of freedom and a mean square of 0.810. The F-value is 1.816, and the associated p-value is 0.181. The non-significant p-value (greater than 0.05) indicates that there is insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis (Ho3).

Based on the data analysis, there is no statistically significant difference in how HR analytics is perceived across employee population categories. The mean representation scores, albeit slightly varying, do not reach a level of significance. This suggests that, within the confines of this study, the employee population scale does not exert a significant influence on the perceived representation of HR analytics.

Correlation between Decision making capability and Representation of HRA outcomes:

Ho4: There is no relation between Decision making capability and Representation of HRA outcomes.

Table 7: Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Representation	3.85	.671	93
Decision Making	4.41	.412	93

Table 8: Correlations

		Decision Making	Representation
Representation	**Pearson Correlation**	.546**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	93	93
Decision Making	**Pearson Correlation**	1	.546**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	93	93

The exploration extends to investigate the correlation between Decision-Making Capability and the Representation of Human Resource Analytics (HRA) outcomes, guided by the null hypothesis (Ho4) suggesting no relationship between these two constructs. This inquiry aims to uncover potential connections between decision-making process and the perceived representation of outcomes derived from HRA.

Descriptive Statistics (Table 7): The descriptive statistics offer an overview of the mean, standard deviation, and sample size for both the Representation of HRA and Decision-Making Capability. The mean representation score is 3.85, with a standard deviation of 0.671, based on a sample size of 93. Similarly, the mean decision-making capability score is 4.41, with a standard deviation of 0.412 and a sample size of 93.

Correlations (Table 8): The correlation analysis assesses the strength and direction of the relationship between Decision Making Capability and Representation of HRA outcomes. The Pearson correlation coefficient between these two constructs is 0.546, with a two-tailed significance value of 0.000, indicating statistical significance.

The findings reveal a statistically significant positive correlation (0.546) between Decision Making Capability and Representation of HRA outcomes. The p-value of 0.000 is less than the conventional significance level of 0.05, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis (Ho4). This implies that there is indeed a meaningful relationship between decision-making capability and how HR analytics outcomes are perceived. The positive correlation suggests that as decision-making capability increases, there is a tendency for a more favourable perception of the representation of outcomes derived from HR analytics. These insights underscore the integral link between effective decision-making and the perceived value of HR analytics outcomes within the organizational context.

Correlation between Technology and Application of HRA:

Ho5: There is no relation between Technology and Application of HRA.

Table 9: Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Application	4.10	.567	93
Technology	3.92	.543	93

Table 10: Correlations

		Technology	Application
Application	**Pearson Correlation**	.441**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	93	93
Technology	**Pearson Correlation**	1	.441**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	93	93

The examination delves into scrutinizing the correlation between Technology and the Application of Human Resource Analytics (HRA), anchored by the null hypothesis (Ho5) asserting no relationship between these two constructs. This investigation aims to discern potential connections between the level of technological integration and the practical application of HR analytics.

Descriptive Statistics (Table 9): The descriptive statistics provide an overview of the mean, standard deviation, and sample size for both the Application of HRA and Technology. The mean application score is 4.10, with a standard deviation of 0.567, based on a sample size of 93. Similarly, the mean technology score is 3.92, with a standard deviation of 0.543 and a sample size of 93.

Correlations (Table 10): The correlation analysis assesses the strength and direction of the relationship between Technology and the Application of HRA. The Pearson correlation coefficient

between these two constructs is 0.441, with a two-tailed significance value of 0.000, indicating statistical significance.

The findings reveal a statistically significant positive correlation (0.441) between Technology and the Application of HRA. The p-value of 0.000 is less than the conventional significance level of 0.05, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis (Ho5). This implies that there is indeed a meaningful relationship between the level of technology integration and the practical application of HR analytics. The positive correlation suggests that as technology advances or is more effectively utilized, there is a tendency for a more pronounced application of HR analytics. These insights underscore the pivotal role of technology in shaping and facilitating the practical implementation of HR analytics within organizational contexts.

Correlation between Technology and Representation of HRA outcomes:

Ho6: There is no relationship between Technology and Application of HRA.

Table 11: Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Representation	3.85	.671	93
Technology	3.92	.543	93

Table 12: Correlations

		Technology	Representation
Representation	**Pearson Correlation**	.297**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.004	
	N	93	93
Technology	**Pearson Correlation**	1	.297**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.004
	N	93	93

The exploration delves into scrutinizing the correlation between Technology and the Representation of Human Resource Analytics (HRA) outcomes, guided by the null hypothesis (Ho6) suggesting no relationship between these two constructs. This inquiry aims to discern potential connections between the level of technology integration and the perceived representation of outcomes derived from HR analytics.

Descriptive Statistics (Table 11): The descriptive statistics present an overview of the mean, standard deviation, and sample size for both the Representation of HRA and Technology. The mean representation score is 3.85, with a standard deviation of 0.671, based on a sample size of 93. Similarly, the mean technology score is 3.92, with a standard deviation of 0.543 and a sample size of 93.

Correlations (Table 12): The correlation analysis assesses the strength and direction of the relationship between Technology and the Representation of HRA outcomes. The Pearson correlation

coefficient between these two constructs is 0.297, with a two-tailed significance value of 0.004, indicating statistical significance.

The findings reveal a statistically significant positive correlation (0.297) between Technology and the Representation of HRA outcomes. The p-value of 0.004 is less than the conventional significance level of 0.05, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis (Ho6). This implies that there is indeed a meaningful relationship between the level of technology integration and how HR analytics outcomes are perceived. "The positive correlation suggests that as technology advances or is more effectively utilized, there is a tendency for a more favorable perception of the representation of outcomes derived from HR analytics." These insights highlight the role of technology not only in facilitating the application of HR analytics but also in shaping how its outcomes are perceived within the organizational context.

Correlation between Application of HRA and Representation of HRA outcomes:

Ho7: There is no relationship between Application of HRA and Representation of HRA outcomes.

Table 13: Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Representation	3.85	.671	93
Application	4.10	.567	93

Table 14: Correlations

		Application	Representation
Representation	**Pearson Correlation**	.428**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	93	93
Application	**Pearson Correlation**	1	.428**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	93	93

The analysis investigates the correlation between the Application of Human Resource Analytics (HRA) and the Representation of HRA outcomes, guided by the null hypothesis (Ho7) suggesting no relationship between these two constructs. This exploration aims to discern potential connections between the practical implementation of HR analytics and the perceived representation of its outcomes.

Descriptive Statistics (Table 13): The descriptive statistics provide an overview of the mean, standard deviation, and sample size for both the Representation of HRA and Application of HRA. The mean representation score is 3.85, with a standard deviation of 0.671, based on a sample size of 93. Similarly, the mean application score is 4.10, with a standard deviation of 0.567 and a sample size of 93.

Correlations (Table 14): The correlation analysis assesses the strength and direction of the relationship between the Application of HRA and the Representation of HRA outcomes. The Pearson

correlation coefficient between these two constructs is 0.428, with a two-tailed significance value of 0.000, indicating statistical significance.

The findings reveal a statistically significant positive correlation (0.428) between the Application of HRA and the Representation of HRA outcomes. The p-value of 0.000 is less than the conventional significance level of 0.05, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis (Ho7). This implies that there is indeed a meaningful relationship between the practical implementation of HR analytics and how its outcomes are perceived. The positive correlation suggests that as HR analytics is more actively applied within an organization, there is a tendency for a more favourable perception of the representation of its outcomes. These insights emphasize the integral link between the hands-on application of HR analytics and the positive perception of its impact within the organizational context.

VI. CONCLUSION

The culmination of the study illuminates critical insights into the interplay between various dimensions of Human Resource Analytics (HRA) within organizational contexts. The findings underscore the significance of effective decision-making capability in shaping the perceived representation of HRA outcomes. As evidenced by the positive correlation, the study reveals that higher decision-making prowess aligns with a more favourable perception of the impact of HR analytics within the organization. This highlights the pivotal role of informed decision-making in enhancing the perceived value of HRA outcomes.

Moreover, the research underscores the intricate relationship between technology integration and the application of HRA. The positive correlation indicates that as technology advances or is more effectively utilized, there is a corresponding increase in the practical application of HR analytics. This aligns with the contemporary trend of leveraging technology to enhance HR processes, emphasizing the symbiotic relationship between technological advancements and the hands-on application of HR analytics.

Additionally, the study sheds light on the nexus between the application of HRA and the representation of its outcomes. The positive correlation signifies that as organizations actively apply HR analytics, there is a concurrent improvement in the perceived representation of its outcomes. This emphasizes the practical significance of incorporating HR analytics into organizational practices, showcasing a positive perception of its outcomes when actively employed.

In conclusion, this research provides valuable insights for organizations seeking to harness the full potential of HRA. The positive correlations uncovered in the study highlight the interconnectedness of decision-making, technology integration, and practical application in shaping the organizational landscape. As organizations continue to navigate the dynamic realm of HR analytics, these findings offer guidance for optimizing its utilization and enhancing the positive impact on organizational success.

REFERENCES

[1]. Acito, F., & Khatri, V. (2014). Business analytics: Why now and what next? Business Horizons, 57(5), 565–570.

[2]. Agarwal, D., Bersin, J., Lahiri, G., Schwartz, J., & Volini, E. (2018). The rise of the social enterprise - 2018 Deloitte global human capital trends. Technical report, Deloitte. Retrieved from [URL]

[3]. Agarwal, R., & Dhar, V. (2014). Big data, data science, and analytics: The opportunity and challenge for IS research. Information Systems Research, 25(3), 443–448.

[4]. Alamelu, R., Nalini, R., Cresenta Shakila Motha, L., Amudha, R., & Bowiya, S. (2017). Adoption factors impacting Human Resource analytics among employees. International Journal of Economic Research, 14(6), 417–423.

[5]. Andersen, M. K. (2017). Human capital analytics: The winding road. Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance, 4(2), 133–136.

[6]. Angrave, D., Charlwood, A., Kirkpatrick, I., Lawrence, M., & Stuart, M. (2016). HR and analytics: Why HR is set to fail the big data challenge. Human Resource Management Journal, 26(1), 1–11.

[7]. Cheng, M. (2017). Causal Modeling in HR Analytics: A Practical Guide to Models, Pitfalls, and Suggestions. Academy of Management Proceedings 2017, 1(1).

[8]. Deloitte. (2019). 2019 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends: Leading the social enterprise: Reinvent with a human focus. Technical report, Deloitte. Retrieved from [URL]

[9]. Gaur, B., Shukla, V. K., & Verma, A. (2019). Strengthening people analytics through wearable IoT device for real-time data collection. In 2019 International Conference on Automation, Computational and Technology Management (ICACTM) (pp. 555–560). IEEE.

[10]. Kalpana, D. N., Thomas Paul Roy, A., & Sathees Babu, S. (2019). Machine learning and statistical theory enabled real-time people analytics framework based on emotional quotient intelligence and self-efficacy. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 8(11), 3454–3459.

[11]. Lochab DA, Kumar S, Tomar H. (2018). Impact of human resource analytics on organizational performance: A review of literature using R-software. International Journal of Management, Technology, and Engineering, 8(11), 1252–1261.

[12]. Momin WY, Kushendra M. (2015). HR analytics as a strategic workforce planning. International Journal of Applied Research, 1(10), 258–260.

[13]. Nocker, M., & Sena, V. (2019). Big data and human resources management: The rise of talent analytics. Social Sciences, 8(10), 273.

[14]. Smith, Jr., T. D. (2018). Perceptions of human resource professionals on using data analytics for talent management. Miami Gardens, FL: St. Thomas University.

[15]. Sousa MJ. (2018). HR analytics models for effective decision-making. CIEO – Algarve University and Universidade Europeia. Lisboa, Portugal, 256–263.